

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/839,581	04/20/2001	Fred Allegrezza	03224.0001U1	1423	
	7590 12/28/2007 DSENBERG, P.C.		EXAM	INER	
SUITE 1000			PARRY, CHRISTOPHER L		
999 PEACHTR ATLANTA, GA		•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2623		
·					
	, ,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
'		09/839,581	ALLEGREZZA, FRED		
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Chris Parry	2623		
The MA Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENE WHICHEVER Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON If NO period for re Failure to reply wi Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA a may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. Bely is specified above, the maximum statutory period we thin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, d by the Office later than three months after the mailing m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti- rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 October 2007</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Cla	aims				
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1,2,4-7,9-14,16-19,21-24,53 and 54 is e above claim(s) 53 and 54 is/are without is/are allowed.  1,2,4-7,9-14,16-19 and 21-24 is/are recommendate is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.			
Application Pape	rs				
10)⊡ The draw Applicant Replacer	eification is objected to by the Examiner ving(s) filed on is/are: a) access may not request that any objection to the conent drawing sheet(s) including the correction or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
3) X Information Disc	nces Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Il Date <u>6/13/2007</u> .	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	Pate		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 17, 2007 has been entered.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on June 13, 2007 was filed after the mailing date of the final office action on June 13, 2007. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

09/839,581 Art Unit: 2623

## Election/Restrictions

4. Newly submitted claims 53-54 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 53-54 are directed towards the CPU is designated to store the video files on the storage system creates a directory based on the data to be stored and stores directory information on disk drives (Page 4, lines 20-22). The claims currently presented are directed towards CPUs having access to the directory to allow access to the data stored on the disk drives (Page 5, lines 4-6).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 53-54 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number:

09/839,581 Art Unit: 2623

6. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-14, 16-19, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rege (USPN 6,128,467) [cited by applicant on July 16, 2007] in view of Belknap et al. "Belknap" (USPN 5,586,264).

Regarding Claim 1, Rege discloses a system (200 – figure 2) for retrieving data distributed across a plurality of storage devices (800 – figure 2) (Col. 3, lines 18-27), the system comprising: a plurality of processors (300 – figure 2), wherein upon receipt of a request for retrieving data, a processor is designated for handling the request (Col. 3, lines 28-63, Col. 5, lines 64-67).

Rege further discloses a switch (400 – figure 2) arranged between the processors (300 – figure 2) and the storage devices (800 – figure 2), wherein the switch independently routes a request for retrieving data from the designated processor directly to the storage devices containing the requested data and independently routes responses from the storage devices directly to the designated processor and wherein the data comprises video stream data (figures 4 & 5; Col. 3, lines 19-35; Col. 3, line 64 to Col. 4, line 39; Col. 4, lines 56-67).

Rege teaches servers 300 generate data request packets that are sent to disks 800 when a request from a customer is received. The request includes a header, disk address field, size field, server memory address field, and error correction field. The disk address is the logical address of the portion of the selected multimedia to be transferred to the server 300 from disk 800 (Col. 6, lines 6-46). However, Rege is silent on disclosing wherein the switch independently routes a request for retrieving data from the designated processor directly to the storage devices containing the requested data

09/839,581 Art Unit: 2623

based on directory information obtained by the processor, and independently routes responses from the storage devices directly to the designated processor based on the directory information obtained by the processor, and wherein the data comprises video stream data.

In an analogous art, Belknap discloses a system (10 – figure 1) for retrieving data distributed across a plurality of storage devices (16 – figure 2) (Col. 6, lines 22-52), the system comprising: a switch (12 – figure 1), wherein the switch routes a request for retrieving data from the designated processor directly to the storage devices containing the requested data based on directory information (i.e., RAID mapping for data stored on disks 45) obtained by the processor, and routes responses from the storage devices directly to the designated processor based on the directory information obtained by the processor (Col. 7, lines 4-7 & lines 53-67; Col. 8, lines 41-53; Col. 9, lines 8-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Rege to include retrieving data from the designated processor directly to the storage devices containing the requested data based on directory information obtained by the processor, and independently routes responses from the storage devices directly to the designated processor based on the directory information obtained by the processor as taught by Belknap for the benefit of providing an improved data retrieval system that can provide video data to customers in a more immediate fashion.

Application/Control Number:

09/839,581 Art Unit: 2623

As for Claims 2 and 14, Rege and Belknap disclose, in particular Rege teaches a resource manager (600 – figure 2) for designating a processor (300 – figure 2) to handle a request, based on the load on each processor (Col. 4, lines 41-67; Col. 5, lines 59-63; Col. 6, lines 39-46).

As for Claims 4 and 16, Rege and Belknap disclose, in particular Belknap teaches wherein the processor obtains the directory information (i.e., RAID mapping for data stored on disks 45) from the storage devices (Col. 7, lines 64-67; Col. 9, lines 8-31).

As for Claims 5 and 17, Rege and Belknap disclose, in particular Rege teaches the system of claim 1, further comprising at least one high speed network (i.e., LAN 210 – figure 2) connected to the storage devices and arranged between the switch and the storage devices (Col. 3, lines 18-37).

As for Claims 6 and 18, Rege and Belknap disclose, in particular Rege teaches wherein the switch accommodates a plurality of high speed networks (i.e., LAN 210 – figure 2) and connected storage devices (Col. 3, lines 28-40).

As for Claims 7 and 19, Rege and Belknap disclose, in particular Rege teaches wherein the high speed network is an Ethernet network (Col. 4, lines 56-67).

As for Claims 9 and 21, Rege and Belknap disclose, in particular Rege teaches wherein the storage devices are disk drives (Col. 5, lines 19-44).

As for Claims 10 and 22, Rege and Belknap disclose, in particular Rege teaches wherein the data is stored in a Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks (RAID) format among the disk drives (Col. 5, lines 36-63).

As for Claims 11 and 23, Rege and Belknap disclose, in particular Belknap teaches the system of claim 1, further comprising a high speed network for delivering the retrieved data from the designated processor to a client device (Col. 12, lines 50-53).

As for Claims 12 and 24, Rege and Belknap disclose, in particular Belknap teaches wherein the high speed network is an Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) network (Col. 12, lines 50-53).

Regarding Claim 13, Rege discloses a method for retrieving data distributed across a plurality of storage devices (Col. 3, lines 29-42), the method comprising the steps of: receiving a request for retrieving data (Col. 3, lines 29-31), wherein the data comprises video stream data (Col. 3, lines 18-33).

Rege further discloses designating a processor (300 – figure 2) for handling the request (Col. 4, lines 41-67; Col. 5, lines 59-63; Col. 6, lines 39-46).

09/839,581 Art Unit: 2623

Rege teaches returning responses from the storage devices (800 - figure 2) directly to the designated processor via the switch (400 - figure 2) (Col. 3, lines 33-35), wherein the switch independently route the request for retrieving data and the responses between the storage devices and the processor (figures 4 & 5; Col. 3, lines 19-35; Col. 3, line 64 to Col. 4, line 39; Col. 4, lines 56-67).

Rege is silent on disclosing forwarding the request directly from the designated processor to the storage devices containing the data via a switch and disclosing wherein the switch uses directory information obtained by the processor to route requests.

In an analogous art, Belknap discloses a method for retrieving data distributed across a plurality of storage devices (Col. 17, lines 28-65), the method comprising the steps of: receiving a request for retrieving data, wherein the data comprises video stream data (Col. 8, lines 32-35).

Belknap discloses forwarding the request directly from the designated processor (14 – figure 1 & 1D) to the storage devices (16 – figure 1 & 1C) containing the data via a switch (12 – figure 1 & 1A) (Col. 8, lines 40-52; Col. 9, lines 8-19; Col. 12, lines 57-62)

Belknap teaches returning responses from the storage devices directly to the designated processor via the switch (Col. 12, lines 57-59), wherein the switch uses directory information (i.e., RAID mapping for data stored on disks 45) obtained by the processor to route the request for retrieving data and the responses between the storage devices and the processor (Col. 7, lines 4-7 & lines 53-67; Col. 8, lines 41-53; Col. 9, lines 8-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Rege to include retrieving data from the designated processor directly to the storage devices containing the requested data based on directory information obtained by the processor, and independently routes responses from the storage devices directly to the designated processor based on the directory information obtained by the processor as taught by Belknap for the benefit of providing an improved data retrieval system that can provide video data to customers in a more immediate fashion.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Shaw et al. (USPN 5,870,533) – Discloses a directory that stores data indicating video titles, which video titles are completely stored on the disk array and which video titles are stored on the tape library.

Aoki (USPN 5,890,203) – Discloses a data transfer device to read the data distributed and stored to a plurality of disk devices.

Mizutani (USPN 6,115,740) - Discloses a video server system that dynamically allocates contents for efficient services.

09/839,581

Art Unit: 2623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chris Parry whose telephone number is (571) 272-8328. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM EST to 4:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chris Parry Examiner Art Unit 2623

/CP/

CHRISTOPHER GRANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600